

- 99+ Mail
- Compose
- Inbox 510
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 3
- More
- Labels +

phabek@stegroup.pl to me, Bww Thu, Dec 30, 2021, 5:01 PM

Dear Author,
I'd like to inform that your revised paper has been accepted by the reviewers and will be published in first issue of 2023. Kindly send us name and address of the person or institution who will pay the article processing charge,
your sincerely,
Patrycja Hąbek
Executive Editor of MSPE Journal

Marti Widya Sari <marti.widya.sari@mail.ugm.ac.id> to phabek Thu, Dec 30, 2021, 11:39 PM

Dear Editor,


Invoice to:
Marti Widya Sari
Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Jl. Grafika No. 2, Sinduadi, Mlati, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55284, Indonesia
e-mail: marti.widya.sari@mail.ugm.ac.id

Thank you

phabek@stegroup.pl to me Tue, Jan 4, 2022, 6:36 PM

Dear Author,
Kindly find in attachment invoice for your APC,
yours sincerely,

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail



Marti Widya Sari <marti.widya.sari@mail.ugm.ac.id> to phabek@stegroup.pl Thu, Jan 6, 2022, 6:05 PM

Dear Editor,

We have already transfer for the payment of the article in MSPE journal today, with the amount as written in the invoice (€ 492), please check it out.

Thank you.


Marti Widya Sari <marti.widya.sari@mail.ugm.ac.id> to phabek Sat, Jan 8, 2022, 3:07 PM

Dear Editor,

We have already transferred the payment of the article to the MSPE journal, with the amount as written in the invoice (€ 492), please check it out.

Thank you.

2 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail



Marti Widya Sari <marti.widya.sari@mail.ugm.ac.id> to phabek@stegroup.pl Wed, Feb 1, 3:22 PM

Dear Editor,

Is there any information about the publication process of my article in MSPE?

Thank you

Regards,
Marti Widya Sari

phabek@stegroup.pl to me Mon, Feb 6, 6:35 PM

Dear Author,
your paper will be published in first issue of 2023 (March),
with best regards,

Thank you for your information. Thank you for the information. Thank you for your response.

Reply Forward



Mail



Chat



Spaces



Meet

Compose

Inbox 510

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 3

More

Labels +



4 of 19

Submission to MSPE Journal External Inbox x



phabek@stegroup.pl

to me

Wed, Feb 8, 2:50 AM [Star] [Reply] [More]

Dear Author,
 Kindly find in the attachment to this e-mail a camera-ready version of your paper. Give your feedback if everything is correctly prepared. If something is missing or needs improvement, let me know as soon as possible by Thursday, February 9th. After the date, corrections will not be possible,
 with best regards,
 Patrycja Hąbek
 Executive Editor of MSPE Journal

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail



Marti Widya Sari <marti.widya.sari@mail.ugm.ac.id>

to phabek

Wed, Feb 8, 7:21 AM [Star] [Reply] [More]


Dear Editor,
 The camera-ready paper is OK, nothing to correct about it.

Thank you.

Regards,
 Marti Widya Sari



Reply Forward



MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS IN PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

REVIEWER FORM

REVIEWER: _____ (title, academic degree, name and surname)

Affiliation and address:

Title of the paper:
SOCIAL MANUFACTURING ON INTEGRATED PRODUCTION SYSTEM. A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Type and nature of the paper: theoretical, experimental, review
 (underline appropriate or describe)

SPECIFIC EVALUATION

Please rate the following by checking an X in the boxes (1 poor, 5 excellent)	Evaluation				
	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance to the scope of Management Systems in Production Engineering Journal				X	
Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper				X	
Is the paper appropriately organized and are the headings indicative of content?				X	
Appropriateness of the research/study method			X		
Relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper area			X		
Practical value of the results			X		
Relevance and clarity of text, drawings, graphs and tables				X	
Acknowledgement of the others work by proper references				X	
Reference list, adequate and correctly cited					X
Standard of English – please indicate below if you think the paper needs proof-reading				X	

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

(obligatory)

Manuscript submitted for review is a very interesting literature review based on the latest papers related to social manufacturing that provide a new paradigm for the manufacturing industry. An important source of information in this regard are then maps based on the concepts, frameworks, and technologies used and discussed in collected papers. The presented in the manuscript maps includes data on the author, co-author, terms on social manufacturing paper, year of publication, type of document and publisher.

The text is written with great care and in accordance with the editorial requirements - in accordance with good manners. However, please pay special attention to the quality of the graphics - in particular, the font standardization in charts and the resolution of the maps. The manuscript is properly structured.

Appropriately selected literature. After a slight correction of graphics (charts and maps), I recommend for publication.

REVIEWER RECOMMENDATION:

Can this paper be accepted for being published?(underline appropriate)

YES – no changes required

YES – with minor revisions

YES – with major revisions

NOT ACCEPTABLE AT ALL

.....
(city, date)

(reviewer signature)

Title of the paper:
SOCIAL MANUFACTURING ON INTEGRATED PRODUCTION SYSTEM. A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Type and nature of the paper: theoretical, experimental, review
(underline appropriate or describe)

SPECIFIC EVALUATION

Please rate the following by checking an X in the boxes (1 poor, 5 excellent)	Evaluation				
	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance to the scope of Management Systems in Production Engineering Journal			X		
Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper			X		
Is the paper appropriately organized and are the headings indicative of content?				X	
Appropriateness of the research/study method				X	
Relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper area			X		
Practical value of the results		X			
Relevance and clarity of text, drawings, graphs and tables				X	
Acknowledgement of the others work by proper references				X	
Reference list, adequate and correctly cited				X	
Standard of English – please indicate below if you think the paper needs proof-reading				X	

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

(obligatory)

In my opinion, the article is correct in terms of content. It concerns current, although niche, issues. Literature analysis is done correctly, although it is an expert selection of literature. Correct conclusions. These are the positive aspects of this manuscript. Potentials for improvement:

- I propose to introduce the concept of social manufacturing in the abstract.
- I propose in the abstract to present what new the literature review presented in the manuscript brings to science. What are the conclusions, for example, utilitarian? What's new?
- I propose to explain to whom and for what purposes this literature review may be useful.
- Chapters usually do not end with tables (tab. 1). I propose to add the text of the conclusions from the analysis of the table.
- Is it possible to enlarge the font in the drawings? If it is possible, please enlarge it.
- I propose to add a reference to the source in the drawings.
- In fig. 4 and 5, I suggest not to put signatures "over" them because the signatures are already under them and they are also different signatures.

This research was funded by The Directorate of Research, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, through the 2021 Final Project Recognition Program (RTA), stated in the Notification Letter Number: 3190 / UN1 / DITLIT / DIT-

LIT / PT / 2021.

I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style.
I recommend that you publish this article after the above-mentioned corrections have been made.

REVIEWER RECOMMENDATION:

Can this paper be accepted for being published?(underline appropriate)

- YES – no changes required
- YES – with minor revisions**
- YES – with major revisions
- NOT ACCEPTABLE AT ALL