



PROCEEDING

THE 2nd UPY INTERNATIONAL
CONFERENCE ON APPLIED
SCIENCE AND EDUCATION 2020

"SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION IN SOCIETY 5.0"

CONFERENCE DAY

November 3th – 4th, 2020

ONLINE

PREFACE

Dear distinguished Authors and Guests,

The organizing committee warmly welcome you to The 2nd UPY International Conference on Applied Science and Education (UPINCASE), held on 3 – 4 November 2020 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

On behalf of The 2nd UPINCASE 2020, we would like to thank all the authors that contributed to this conference. We would like to extend our special gratitude to the Keynote Speakers who support this conference.

- 1) Prof. Tai-Chien Kao (National Dong Hwa University, Taiwan)
Theme: Science and Technology for Future Education
- 2) Prof. Wasino (Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia)
Theme: Social Transformation in Society 5.0
- 3) Dr. David Nwanna Dumbiri (University of Benin, Nigeria)
Theme: Information and Technology for Sustainable Development
- 4) Prof. Suzuki Takashi (Kobe University, Japan)
Theme: Business and Services Transformation in Society 5.0
- 5) Dr. Arman Shah bin Abdullah (Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
Theme: Innovation of Educational Technology
- 6) Dr. Paiman (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
Theme: Technology Development to Increase Crop Production

After the peer review process, the submitted papers were selected on the basis of originality, significance and clarity for the purpose of the conference. We hope that the conference results constituted significant contribution to the knowledge in these up to date scientific field. The topics covered in this conference include Engineering, Information Technology, Technology for Education, Applied Science, and Science Education.

We will be committed ourselves to make this conference more and more professional with fully and enjoyable academic research and discussion platform for authors and attendees. Sincerely as always, we look forward to your attention and support to the next UPINCASE.

With our warmest regards,

Marti Widya Sari


Conference Chair
25 October 2020
Yogyakarta, Indonesia

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Preface

To cite this article: 2021 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1823** 011001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection—download the first chapter of every title for free.

PREFACE

Dear distinguished Authors and Guests,

The organizing committee warmly welcome you to The 2nd UPY International Conference on Applied Science and Education (UPINCASE), held on 3 – 4 November 2020 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia virtually. UPINCASE 2020 is implemented virtually, because as we all know, it is currently still in the state of the Covid-19 pandemic, so this limits our space. The topics covered in this conference include Engineering, Information Technology, Technology for Education, Applied Science, and Science Education.

On behalf of The 2nd UPINCASE 2020, we would like to thank all the authors that contributed to this conference. We would like to extend our special gratitude to the Keynote Speakers who support this conference.

- 1) Prof. Tai-Chien Kao (National Dong Hwa University, Taiwan)
Theme: Science and Technology for Future Education
- 2) Prof. Wasino (Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia)
Theme: Social Transformation in Society 5.0
- 3) Dr. David Nwanna Dumbiri (University of Benin, Nigeria)
Theme: Information and Technology for Sustainable Development
- 4) Prof. Suzuki Takashi (Kobe University, Japan)
Theme: Business and Services Transformation in Society 5.0
- 5) Dr. Arman Shah bin Abdullah (Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
Theme: Innovation of Educational Technology
- 6) Dr. Paiman (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
Theme: Technology Development to Increase Crop Production

The conference was held for two days and divided into two parts, Plenary Session and Parallel Session. On the first day, the keynote speaker at the Plenary Session is Prof. Kao (Taiwan), Prof. Wasino (Indonesia) and Dr. Dumbiri (Nigeria), then continued with Parallel Session. On the second day, the keynote speaker at the Plenary Session is Prof. Takashi (Japan), Dr. Arman Shah (Malaysia) and Dr. Paiman (Indonesia), then continued with Parallel Session.

The conference was held online through the Zoom Meeting, and was attended by around 250 participants on the first and second day. The technical problem at this conference was about the unequal conditions of the internet network in each participant's area, so that it was a bit of a hindrance, especially during the Parallel Session.



The number of papers presented at this conference was 172 papers, which was divided into 9 Virtual Room, in two days. After the peer review process, the submitted papers were selected on the basis of originality, significance and clarity for the purpose of the conference. We hope that the conference results constituted significant contribution to the knowledge in these up to date scientific field.

We will be committed ourselves to make this conference more and more professional with fully and enjoyable academic research and discussion platform for authors and attendees. Sincerely as always, we look forward to your attention and support to the next UPINCASE.

With our warmest regards,
Marti Widya Sari

Conference Chair
5 November 2020
Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Committee of The 2nd UPINCASE 2020

Steering Committee

- 1) Dr. Paiman, M.P (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 2) Ahmad Riyadi, M.Kom (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 3) Saptaningsih Sumarmi, S.E., MM (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 4) M. Fairuzabadi, M.Kom (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 5) Dra. Rosalia Indriyati S, M.Si (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 6) Dr. Erik Aditia Ismaya (Universitas Muria Kudus, Indonesia)

Editor in Chief

Marti Widya Sari, S.T., M.Eng (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)

Official Committee

- 1) Dr. Septian Aji Permana, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 2) R. Hafid Hardyanto, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 3) Padrul Jana, M.Sc (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 4) Aditya Wahana, M.Kom (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)

Editorial Board

- 1) Prof. Dr. Wasino, M.Pd (Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia)
- 2) Prof. Dr. Dewi Lies Noor S, M.Si (Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia)
- 3) Prof. Hamdan Said (Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia)
- 4) Prof. Nattavud Pimpam (RMIT University Melbourne, Australia)
- 5) Prof. Aminuddin Hassan, B.Sc, M.Sc, Ph.D (University Putra Malaysia)
- 6) Dr. David Nwanna Dumbiri (University of Benin, Nigeria)
- 7) Dr. M.N. Azhari Azman (Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
- 8) Dr. Arman Shah Abdullah (Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
- 9) Dr. TB Ai Munandar, M.Kom (Universitas Serang Raya, Banten, Indonesia)
- 10) Dr. Dekeng Setyo B, M.Si.Ak (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)

- 11) Dr. Niken Wahyu Utami, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 12) Dr. Setyo Eko Atmojo, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 13) Banu Santoso, S.T., M.Eng (Universitas AMIKOM Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 14) Dr. Pudjo Suharso, M.Si (Universitas Negeri Jember, Indonesia)
- 15) Dr. Didi Susanto, M.Pd, M.Kom (Universitas Islam Kalimantan, Indonesia)
- 16) Dr. M. Noorazalan Abd Aziz (Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
- 17) Bintang Wicaksono, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 18) Laela Sagita, M.Sc ((Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 19) Theofilus Bayu D, M.Sc (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 20) Rianto, M.Kom (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 21) Ekha Rifki Fauzi, M.P.H (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 22) Ari Kusuma Wardana, M.Cs (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 23) Arip Febrianto, M.Pd.I (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 24) Andi Dian Rahmawan, M.A (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 25) Kintoko, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
- 26) Juang Kurniawan S, M.Pd (Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia)

Contact Person:

- 1) Marti Widya Sari
Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Email: widya@upy.ac.id
- 2) Committee of UPINCASE 2020
Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Email: upincase@upy.ac.id

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Lost Space Utilization for Public Activities at Railway Crossing in Mejing and Sedayu Village, Yogyakarta

To cite this article: Eka Widyaningsih *et al* 2021 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1823** 012018

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection—download the first chapter of every title for free.

Lost Space Utilization for Public Activities at Railway Crossing in Mejing and Sedayu Village, Yogyakarta

Eka Widyaningsih¹, Radaswari² and Adinda Rafika Dani³

^{1,2,3}Departement of Architecture Faculty of Science and Technology Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta
JL. PGRI 1 No. 117, Sonosewu, 55182, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

¹[Email : ekawidyaningsih@upy.ac.id](mailto:ekawidyaningsih@upy.ac.id)

Abstract Street and railways crossing is considered as an area prone to accidents, especially crossing areas without safety barriers. However, this vacant space attracts more visitors from its surrounding neighborhood area. At certain hours of the day people uses this space to interact, socialize, play, enjoying afternoon meal, or spend some pastime while train-watching from the rail sides. Street vendors also contribute to the crowding of the area. These space should be manage by public railway company. However in most suburban area, these space has been untouched. On the other hand, the needs for urban public space as a recreational place for people has not been facilitated. Thus careless utilization of lost space at street-railways crossing are commonly found. This phenomenon, creates a sprawl of unplanned-temporary public space. Observing the Mejing and Sedayu crossing, we might see the vibrant activities creates by locals, various type of users, and habitual time used of space.

1. Introduction

Crossing between railway and motorway is referred as *intersection site*. An intersection site is an area that should be free from public activity. This intersection site is a restricted area owned by public railway company. But in the reality, this site has been used for public activities. Two samples of these site are in (1) Mejing Crossing, Gamping, Sleman Regency and (2) Sedayu Crossing, Sedayu, Bantul Regency.

Railway crossing in Mejing and Sedayu, at certain times especially in the afternoon used by the local neighborhood community for recreational purposes. Activities performed mostly by parents accompanying they children. Type of activities ranging from just sitting on the rail side while watching the passing train or taking the afternoon meal, chatting, watching the children taking the odong-odong or mini train or just playing around. In addition, the area is also functioned as a place to enjoy snacks from peddler vendors offering various types of food from meatballs soup, sticky rice balls, steamed dumplings, satay, street rice box, etc. Usually, it start in the afternoon, from 3.00 PM to 7.00 PM, with train-watching as its highlight.





Figure 1 of Space on the Rail Edge in Mejing



Figure 2 of Space on the Rail Edge in Sedayu

Based on the description of activities in the two locations, it can be concluded that public open space is one of the facilities that the community desperately needs. Public open spaces are a place to interact, socialize and also a recreational place. In addition, public open space also has a role in improving the economy. But the problem is the lack of safe and comfortable public open space. The limited availability of open space, makes the community create its own space to interact, socialize as well as recreation places. One example is the activity that occurs in the border area of the crossing rail. The phenomenon of the on-the-go public activity at the border crossing, the research entitled Lost space utilization for public activities at railways crossing in Mejing and Sedayu Village, Yogyakarta.

The problem identified in this study is the absence of the availability of safe and comfortable public spaces to meet the recreational needs of the local community. Which came to the problem restriction are as follows :

1. The research site was conducted at the rail border at the crossing of a railway/road in Mejing and Sedayu.
2. The scope of discussion is: various types of activities performed by locals at the railways site, the point of location / utilization room selected, and the background of users are recorded.

The formulation of the problem in this study is to answer one research question, which is: How is the spatial pattern created by utilization of lost space on the railways site in Mejing and Sedayu Crossing has been formed? Hence, the objectives of this research are as follows:

1. Finding patterns of utilization of public open space on the rail line at the railway/road in Mejing and Sedayu.
2. Propose a recommendations based on this research so that it can be used as a reference for the development of public open spaces.

Hopefully this research benefits to the public would be fulfilled by mean of two main goals :

1. Knowing the effectiveness of the rail border as a public open space.
2. Provide recommendations for utilizing rail borders as safe, comfortable and recreative public open spaces.

2. Literature review and theory

The patterns of activity can be classified into political activities, mass worship, sports, and recreation[1]. While from the results of research conducted by Batubara it was found that the border site of Binjai-Besitang railway parallel to T.A. Hamzah road *became lost space* since August 2003, and subsequently the inactive line was used illegally by the community as a function of housing and shops[2]. The utilization of open space in Alun-Alun Batu was uneven. Utilization of space with high intensity of activity was found in smoking area, fountain area A, fountain area B and *playground*. While space with a low intensity of activity it is located in fountain area D and fountain area E[3].

Based on literature study mention above, there are differences in the results of open space utilization patterns utilized by the community. In previous research, the research site strongly determines

the pattern of utilization of open space, because the activity of space users has its own influence. Definition of space utilization under Law no. 26 of 2007 is an attempt to realize the structure of space and space pattern in accordance with the spatial plan through the preparation and implementation of the program and its financing (article 1 paragraph 14) [4]. The nature of any human activity, especially those in urban areas is usually inseparable from the utilization of space as is the case in the use of public open spaces [5]. The public open space utilization activities patterns have several factors that affect the spatial activity, the users, and the time of the activity [3].

Based on The Minister of Public Works Regulation No. 05/PRT/M/2008, it says that the railway boundary line is a Green Open Space or RTH, whose border has the main function of limiting the interaction between public activities and railways. The width of the railway boundary line on the straight railway is more than 11 m of plants and more than 20 m from the building. And for the railway turn / arch i.e. the deep arch is more than 23 m from the plant and more than 23 m from the building as well as the width of the border on the arch in more than 11m of plants and more than 11 m of the building [6].

Abandoned railways caused by nonactive used of the space or difficulty to access could creates a lost space. Lost space is a destructurezed space in surrounding buildings, part of plazas, or under utilized open spaces or under used by people [7]. In other words, lost space is part or an open space owned by authority, but abandoned and forgotten, thus are illegally used and by local citizen and unplanned [2].

A public open space is a public place where people perform routine and functional activities that bind a community, both the normal routine of daily life and in periodic celebrations. Public open space is a place of interaction between people in a space. Open space is also a shared space where the public can do a variety of activities and is free of charge to enter the area. There are several factors to be aware of in an effort to optimize the use of public open spaces namely:[8]

1. *Use of space*, where different spaces host different functions and activities.
2. *Space form and context*, defined as the physical character of the space. The shape of the space can be characterized by the presence of physical borders as well as interesting objects or focal *points*.

That public space is a complex system that related to all building parts and natural environment which can be accessed freely by public users, including street, plaza, square, natural/green open space, as well as private space which is open to public access [9].

Public open space as an urban planning element should be accommodated:

1. To serve social needs and share its knowledge to the visitors. Local's activities varied from relaxing, playing, strolling, and reading [10].
2. As a node and communication medium to strengthen social bond and to create interaction between various communities [8].

There are eight major rating criteria for public space quality assessments [11]. (Tibbalds, 1993):

1. Mixed activities and function.
2. Public spaces and Exclusive space
3. Pedestrian movement and hospitality
4. Human scale and density
5. Structure, Clarity, and Identity.
6. Order, Safety, and Comfort.
7. City management.
8. Visual property.

These elements interact and support each other by mean the higher these elements rating qualification, the better quality its public space hold.

3. Research Methods

Based on the characteristics of the research objectives to be achieved, this research uses a qualitative approach. The data collecting will be more complete, in-depth, and trustworthy. There will be data that is norm, value, response, habit, mental attitude, and culture embraced by a person or group or group of people. The characteristics of qualitative research, are natural in setting, descriptive, based on inductive process rather than outcomes or products, and essential to value .

Research Framework




Figure3 Research Framework

The research locus is at Mejing Railway Crossing located in Mejing Village, Ambarketawang Subdistrict, Gamping District, Sleman Regency and Sedayu Railway crossing at Sedayu Village, Agrosari District, both located in the suburban area of Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

Data Collection Techniques

Data collection techniques used qualitative methods *with purposive sampling* and prioritizing *perspective emic*, which means to care about the respondent's view, which is how they view and interpretation matters.

Data Analysis Techniques

At the analysis stage, the identified data is presented in the form of matrixs data (in the form of spatial schematics). It is intended to facilitate reading, understanding and interpretation (qualitative). The data on the table is then analyzed based on visual perception theory, break down into 2 interpretation phases. The first interpretation process is directed to get a tendency towards variable in location, and the second phase is the interpretation process is directed to obtain a tendency towards research variables in one location. Here is the research matrix as a reference for observation, interview and behavior mapping guideline in research area:

Table 1 Matrik Research

Theory	Variable	Parameter	Indicator
Use of Space	ACTOR	users of Activities	Goal
		Activities	Age Type Intensity
	TIME	Weekdays	Morning (6.00 - 10.00 am) Afternoon (03.00 - 07.00 pm)
		Weekend & Holiday	Morning (6.00 - 10.00)

Theory	Variable	Parameter	Indicator
Space Pattern	PLACE	Spaces	Afternoon (03.00 - 07.00 am) Types / Functions of Space Relationship
		Space Forming Components	Type Size Layout Relationship

4. Results And Discussions

Visitor Characteristics

Based on surveys conducted by researchers on the activities and patterns of space use for public activities on the rail border, the finding is as follows;

- a. Visitor Characteristics by Gender: 64% are male and the remaining 36% are female. Details of visitors by age can be viewed from the flowchart below:




Figure4 of Visitors by Gender

- b. Visitor Characteristics By Age: almost equally distributed, 17-20 year old by 35%, ages 21-30 (25%), followed by 31-40 years old (22%) and ages 41-50 know (15%), a few visitors over the age of 50 (3%).




Figure5 of Visitors By Age

c. Visitor Characteristics by Livelihood

Students are the most likely to hang out at railway crossing site in Mejing Village and Sedayu Village (35%), 19% vendors, 14% employees, 13% housewives, 4% entrepreneurs, and 1% retiree. While others reached (14%) it consists of various professions, such as; teachers, farmers and laborers.




Figure 6 of Visitors By Livelihood

d. Destination Visitors To The Location

Based on the results of the interview there are several destinations from visitors crossing a section of mejing and sedayu railways, among others; watch trains pass by, promise with friends, accompany son or daughter, sell, buy snacks/food or culinary and recreation.




Figure 7 Destinations To Come To The Location

e. The Activities Visitors

The percentage of activities that most visitors do at the crossing of a section of mejing and sedayu railway line is Makan/jajan/minum (29%), sitting while looking at the passing train (25%), chatting with people or friends (12%), feeding the child and waiting for the child to play (9%). While the remaining 15% of other activities, among others; sell, walk around, sit back and take photos of the train.




Figure 8 Activities The Visitors

f. Location of Activities

Based on the results of the interview conducted, the place of visitors to the event is as follows; on the edge of the rail, radius ≥ 1 meter (30%), stalls around the tracks (26%), rail edges, radius ≤ 2 meters (18%), sitting on the tracks (if no trains pass) (17%) and others (9%). Other areas, among others; rail edge, radius 3-10 meters.




Figure 9 of Activity

g. Frequency of Visits

The most number of visitors to the location is once a week (27%), once a month (21%), daily (17%), twice a week (11%). Others reached (24%), with the frequency of visits uncertain, sometimes, rare and new first time.




Figure10 Frequency

h. Visit Time

During the visit to the crossing of the mejing and sedayu railways, the most common is on weekends, reaching (40%). Those who visit on weekdays (27%) and who visit daily (21%). And when viewed from visiting hours, the afternoon (03.00 – 08.00 PM), becomes the most visiting hours, which is reaching (84%). While the morning is visited (9%), while others (7%)




Figure11 time (Day)




Figure12 Visit time (Hours)

Space Utilization Pattern

Based on the survey results at two crossings in Mejing and Sedayu rail tracks, it has the same pattern of spatial usage. The space used are (1) on the side of the rail track, and (2) the crossing area of a railway-motorways.

The time frames was classified two time: weekdays and weekends/holiday, with the early morning span between 05.00 – 10.00 AM; noon (10.00 AM– 03.00 PM); afternoon (03.00 – 08.00 PM) and evening (08.00 – 10.00 PM).





Activity Patterns By Time


Weekday Utilization Pattern

Based on observations in the field, visitors at the crossing site of Mejing and Sedayu in general found similarities. Visitors occupied the area between 03.00 - 08.00 PM. In the morning to noon, there tend to be no visitors. And at night after 08.00 PM there are also no visitors and activities.

Table 2 Time-Based Activity Patterns (Weekdays)

TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY PATTERNS
05.00 AM – 10.00 AM	<u>Mejing</u>	In the morning, there is only one trader (satay trader), and the activity of visitors who come tends to only make trade transactions. And there is no relaxing/refreshing activity. And the activity, only lasts approximately until 10.00 AM. And only one point of location is used
		In the time range from 05.00 – 10.00 AM there has not been any activity
10.00 AM – 03.00 PM	<u>Mejing</u>	In the time range from 10.00 AM – 03.00 PM there has been no activity

TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY PATTERNS
	<p><u>Sedayu</u></p>  <p> Keterangan: ■ Kawasan Rumah Perumahan ■ Pas Jaga Perumahan ■ Waring ■ Area yang digunakan untuk parkir </p>	<p> Activities begin, after 10:00 AM. This activity takes place in permanent stalls (rows of Kabita meatballs). And visitors come to enjoy the culinary, directly there is no activity related to the edge of the rails. And only one location point is used </p>
<p>03.00 PM – 08.00 PM</p>	<p><u>Mejing</u></p>  <p> Keterangan: ■ Kawasan Rumah Perumahan ■ Pas Jaga Perumahan ■ Waring ■ Persegi Dengan Sepeda Motor/Sepeda ■ Persegi Dengan Gerobak ■ Odong-odong ■ Area panjang berdiri ■ Area bersampingan dengan jalur rel (tidak siap karena tidak lewati) ■ Area yang digunakan untuk parkir </p>	<p> There is an increase in activity points in the afternoon. The activity starts at 15.00 WIB, and experiences peak activity at 04.00 – 17.30 PM. Activities that are done standing, sitting (on the rails or around the tracks), chatting, watching the train, enjoying the food and for the children to play (ride odong-odong or run around in the airy area) </p>
	<p><u>Sedayu</u></p>  <p> Keterangan: ■ Kawasan Rumah Perumahan ■ Pas Jaga Perumahan ■ Waring ■ Persegi Dengan Sepeda Motor/Sepeda ■ Persegi Dengan Gerobak ■ Odong-odong ■ Area panjang berdiri ■ Area bersampingan dengan jalur rel (tidak siap karena tidak lewati) ■ Area yang digunakan untuk parkir ■ Area bersebelahan rumah ■ Angklings </p>	<p> Similarly, the activity that occurred at the crossing of a section of Mejing railway/ road, at the crossing of a section of Sedayu railway/ road also experienced an increase in activity and started at 03.00 PM, and experienced peak activity at 04.00 - 05.30 PM. Activities that are done standing, sitting (on the rails or around the tracks), chatting, watching the train, enjoying the food and for the children to play (ride odong-odong or run around in the airy area) </p>
<p>08.00 PM – 10.00 PM</p>	<p><u>Mejing</u></p>  <p> Keterangan: ■ Kawasan Rumah Perumahan ■ Kawasan Sisa Sisa Bangunan ■ Waring ■ Persegi Dengan Gerobak ■ Area panjang berdiri dan digunakan untuk parkir motor </p>	<p> At night (08.00 PM) there is no activity at all. This is possible because it is not a lighting facility. </p>


TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY PATTERNS
	<u>Sedayu</u>	
	 <p>Keterangan: ■ Bangunan Rumah Perumahan ■ Pas Jaga Perikanan ■ Waring ■ Area yang digunakan untuk parkir</p>	At night (08.00 PM) there is no activity at all. This is possible because it is not a lighting facility.

Weekend Utilization Pattern


From observation on weekends/holidays (Saturdays and Sundays), visitors numbers are higher compared to weekdays. Thus affecting the diversity and dissemination of activities that occur. The utilization of space, consists of standing, sitting, playing, drinking, feeding children and watching the children playing around. The activity of playing consists of playing using tools, namely riding *odong-odong* (mini marry-go-round) and playing activities without using tools, namely children running around. The most common activity is standing and sitting. Standing activities are done a lot because visitors are moving places or standing still in place because they do not get a seat. Standing activities are often carried out on the circulation access.

The activities that visitors experience and their association with the pattern of space use can be seen from the table below:




Table 3 Time-Based Activity Patterns (Akhir Pekan / Weekend)

TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY PATTERNS
05.00 AM – 10.00 AM	<u>Mejing</u>	
	 <p>Keterangan: ■ Bangunan Rumah Perumahan ■ Kolam Tiga Saluran Irigasi ■ Waring ■ Pedagang Dengan Gerobak ■ Area pemangjang becek dan digunakan untuk parkir motor</p>	In the morning, there is only one trader (satay trader), and the activity of visitors who come tends to only make trade transactions. And there is no relaxing/refreshing activity. And the activity, only lasts approximately until 10.00 AM. And only one point of location is used

Sedayu



In the time range from 05.00 AM – 10.00 AM there has not been any activity

TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY PATTERNS
10.00 AM – 03.00 PM	<u>Mejing</u>	In the time span of 10.00 – 15.00 WIB there has not been any activity
		
	<u>Sedayu</u>	The activity starts, after 10.00 AM. This activity takes place in permanent stalls (rows of Kabita meatballs). And visitors come to enjoy the culinary, directly there is no activity related to the edge of the rails. And only one location point is used.
		
03.00 PM – 08.00 PM	<u>Mejing</u>	There is an increase in activity points in the afternoon. The activity starts at 03.00 PM, and experiences peak activity at 04.00–05.30 PM. Activities that are done standing, sitting (on the rails or around the tracks), chatting, watching the train, enjoying the food and for the children to play (ride odong-odong or run around in the airy area) Space utilization patterns vary greatly, with space utilization patterns scattered along the rail edges.
		
	<u>Sedayu</u>	Similarly, the activity that occurs at the crossing of a mejing railway/ road, at the crossing of a piece of Sedayu railway/road also experienced an increase in activity and started at 03.00 PM, and experienced peak activity at 04.00 - 05.30 PM. Activities that are done standing, sitting (on the rails or around the tracks), chatting, watching the train, enjoying the food and for the children to play (ride odong-odong or run around in the airy area) Space utilization patterns vary greatly, with

TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY PATTERNS
		<p>space utilization patterns scattered along the rail edges.</p>
<p>08.00 PM – 10.00 PM</p>	<p><u>Mejing</u></p>	<p>At night (08.00 PM) there is no activity at all. This is possible because there is no lighting facility.</p>
	<p><u>Sedayu</u></p>	<p>At night (08.00 PM) there is no activity at all. This is possible because it is not a lighting facility.</p>

3. The *Effect of Physical Settings on Activity Patterns*

The crossing site in Mejing and Sedayu track line does not have *street furniture facilities*. Space tends to be utilized for recreational and culinary activities. The activities occur at the crossing site, influenced by the arrival of street vendors and child games rental services in the area, railways as setting locations and irregular motorcycle parking areas



Figure 13 Element That Influence Regional Fission Settings

Source: Survey 2019/2020

Discussion

From analysis, the subject of discussion is the relation between users and activities :

1. The purpose of visitors to come is to recreation, play, culinary, interact
2. by age, visitors range from children to productive adult.
3. by the education background, result varied from uneducated to undergraduate level.

The use of space for public activities is accessible to everyone. without any limitation and restriction, to freely engaged without disturbing other users. Visitors can also feel temporary ownership even though it is an illegal action. Visiting time usually in the afternoon and depend on natural lighting, therefore activity will end after sunset. The spatial component formed temporarily by public activity that visitors engaged in. Some of these activities has no safety control, due to rail/railways main function as train track.

Based on on site observations, there are several *types of* users, namely;

1. Type One, visitors using train rail track to perform activities.
2. Type Two, visitors activities performed on the rail track distance > 1 meter
3. Type Three, visitors activities performed on the rail track distance < 3 meters
4. Type Four, visitors activities performed on a parking motorcycle, on the side of the rail track.

Street vendors and service providers, it is identified as follows;

1. Vendors based on type of vending facility, among others; merchants with permanent stalls/ stalls, merchants with non-permanent stalls/ stalls, vendors using bicycles and motor vehicles.
2. Merchants based on their merchandise, among others; beverage, snack (sticky rice ball, sausage in egg batter, curry pancake, butter toast, etc), food (meatballs, satay, street rice box (*angkringan*) etc)
3. Rental game equipment, usually in the form of odong-odong, prosotan from plastic, and air balloon playground.

The temporary space layout in an organic spontaneous pattern, although the position remains the same. This is because there is no maximum setup and the use of space can be said to be the use of space without permit.

5. Conclusion

When viewed from the function of space, the area is a railway site. The railways site is based on the Minister of Public Works Regulation (05/PRT/M/2008), is a green open space area that control the safety boundary of railways site. According to the Ministerial Regulation, the width of the railway boundary line on the straight railway is more than 11 m of plants and more than 20 m from the building. But the fact in the field, the area is used by the community to engage and interact.

From the results of the study, there can be a change of territory, where the area that should be a restricted area and cannot be functioned as public space with a wide range of activities. Based on the pattern of activities carried out by visitors along the rails and rail edges, the use of space for public activities, organized along the railways/railways as well as the railway banks. This is very dangerous for the safety of the user. The use of space as a public activity is due to the lack of comfortable and safe public spaces.

Recommendations

For Local/Central Government and Related Agencies

- Based on Permen PU 12-2009 related to the provision of Green Open Space facilities on the Rukun Tetangga scale for the population of 250 there must be at least 250 m² of land that serves as Green

Open Space facilities with a radius of 300m from the residents' homes, then the government must facilitate Green Open Space facilities in every *Rukun Warga*.

- Identification by the relevant authority or PT. KAI to record the crossing of any railway/road used for residents protection and safety and PT. KAI needs to synergize with the relevant agencies to rearrange the crossing site of railway/road, so that it can be used safely by the neighborhood community.

For Researcher

- This research is the first step in identifying changes in space and territory and looking at patterns of activity that occur, so that it can be used as material for the design foundation of the utilization of space for public activities.

6. Bibliography

- [1] D. T. Haryanti, "Kajian pola pemanfaatan ruang terbuka publik kawasan bundaran simpang lima semarang," *Univ. Diponegoro Semarang*, 2008.
- [2] R. R. Batubara and D. N. Aulia, "Peremajaan Sempadan Rel Kereta Api Jalan Tengku Amir Hamzah Binjai Ditinjau Dari Aspek Ruang Terbuka," *Dep. Arsit. Univ. Sumatra Utara*, 2016.
- [3] C. P. Marhendra, L. D. Wulandari, and S. T. Pamungkas, "Pola Aktivitas Pemanfaatan Ruang Terbuka Publik Di Alun-Alun Batu," *J. Mhs. Jur. Arsit. Univ. Brawijaya*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–13, 2014.
- [4] *UU No. 26 Tahun 2007 tentang Penataan Ruang*. 2007.
- [5] A. Effendi, Dewinita; Waani, Judy O; Sembel, "Pola Perilaku Masyarakat Terhadap Pemanfaatan Ruang Terbuka Publik di pusat Kota Ternate," 2017.
- [6] Dirjen Penataan Ruang, *Pedoman Penyediaan dan Pemanfaatan RTH di Kawasan Perkotaan*. 2008.
- [7] R. Trancik, *Finding-Lost-Space.Pdf*. 1986.
- [8] S. Carr, M. Francis, L. G. Rivlin, and A. M. Stone, "Public space," *Public Sp.*, 1992.
- [9] M. Carmona, T. Heath, T. Oc, and S. Tiesdell, *Urban spaces-public places: The dimensions of urban design*. 2003.
- [10] Nazaruddin, *Penghijauan Kota*. Jakarta: Penebar Swadaya, 1994.
- [11] Tibbalds. *Urban Environment Quality*. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1993

LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : PROSIDING

Judul Makalah : *Lost Space Utilization for Public Activities at Railway Crossing in Mejing and Sedayu Village, Yogyakarta*
 Penulis Makalah : Adinda Rafika Dani, S.T., M. Sc.
 Status Pengusul : Penulis ketiga
 Identitas Makalah : a. Judul Prosiding : IOP Science – Journal of Physics: Conference Series
 b. Nomor ISBN : 1755-1315, 1755-1307
 c. Tahun Terbit : 3-4 November 2020
 d. Penerbit : IOP Publishing Ltd.
 e. Alamat web makalah: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1823/1/012018/pdf>

Kategori Publikasi Makalah : *Prosiding* Forum Ilmiah Internasional
 (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) *Prosiding* Forum Ilmiah Nasional

Hasil Penilaian *Peer Review* :

Komponen yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal <i>Prosiding</i>		Nilai Akhir yang Diperoleh
	Internasional <input type="checkbox"/>	Nasional <input type="checkbox"/>	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi <i>prosiding</i> (10%)	1,0		0,7
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	3,0		2,7
c. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	3,0		2,5
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit (30%)	3,0		2,2
Total = (100%)	10,0		8,1
Kontribusi Pengusul			(20% : 1)
Komentar Peer Review	1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur:		

	<p>Cukup lengkap dan sesuai dengan pembahasan dan tema yang dibahas</p> <p>2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan:</p> <p>Ruang lingkup cukup baik dan kedalaman pembahasan cukup dalam</p> <p>3. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi:</p> <p>Kemutakhiran data dan informasi baik dan cukup mutakhir</p> <p>4. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit:</p> <p>Kualitas penerbit bagus dan bereputasi</p> <p>5. Indikasi Plagiasi :</p> <p>Tidak ada indikasi plagiasi</p> <p>6. Kesesuaian Bidang Ilmu :</p> <p>Bidang ilmu sesuai, merupakan sub bidang ilmu arsitektur dan perkotaan</p>
--	--

Yogyakarta, 23 April 2021
Reviewer,



Nama : Dr. Ir. Endang Setyowati, M.T.
NIP/NIDN : 196402171991022001/0017026401
Jabatan Fungsional : Lektor Kepala
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Sains dan Teknologi
Universitas Teknologi Yogyakarta

LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : *PROSIDING*

Judul Makalah : *Lost Space Utilization for Public Activities at Railway Crossing in Mejing and Sedayu Village, Yogyakarta*
 Penulis Makalah : Adinda Rafika Dani, S.T., M. Sc.
 Status Pengusul : Penulis ketiga
 Identitas Makalah : a. Judul Prosiding : IOP *Science* – Journal of Physics: Conference Series
 b. Nomor ISBN : 1755-1315, 1755-1307
 c. Tahun Terbit : 3-4 November 2020
 d. Penerbit : IOP Publishing Ltd
 e. Alamat web makalah: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1823/1/012018/pdf>

Kategori Publikasi Makalah : *Prosiding* Forum Ilmiah Internasional
 (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) *Prosiding* Forum Ilmiah Nasional

Hasil Penilaian *Peer Review* :

Komponen yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal <i>Prosiding</i>		Nilai Akhir yang Diperoleh
	Internasional <input type="checkbox"/>	Nasional <input type="checkbox"/>	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi <i>prosiding</i> (10%)	1,0		1,0
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	3,0		3,0
c. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	3,0		3,0
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit (30%)	3,0		3,0
Total = (100%)	10,0		10,0
Kontribusi Pengusul			(20% : 1)
Komentar Peer Review	1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur:		

	<p>Tulisan sudah secara lengkap menggambarkan urutan pemikiran</p> <p>2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan: Pembahasan sudah lengkap</p> <p>3. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi: Telah menggunakan teknik terbaru</p> <p>4. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit: OK</p> <p>5. Indikasi Plagiasi : Tidak ada</p> <p>6. Kesesuaian Bidang Ilmu : Sesuai</p>
--	--

Yogyakarta, 29 April 2021

Reviewer,



Nama : Dr. Wahyu Utami, ST., MT.
NIP/NIDN : 197506082000122002/0008067504
Jabatan Fungsional : Lektor
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Teknik
Universitas Sumatra Utara